NORTH CHARLESTON ELEMENTARY 3795 Spruill Avenue North Charleston, South Carolina 29405 PK-5 Elementary School GRADES 422 Students ENROLLMENT Kevin Conklin 843-745-7121 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Maria Goodloe 843-937-6319 Mr. Gregg Meyers 843-720-8714 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 3 6 33 41 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: ND This school met 7 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Good	N/A
2003 2004	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY	TEACHERS,	STUDENTS,	AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	37	57	5
Percent satisfied with learning environment	88.9%	89.1%	I/S
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	83.8%	84.2%	I/S
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	56.8%	84.2%	I/S

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP olo Proficient and State Objective July of Testing olo Belom Baeic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts All students 12.2 225 99.6 45.9 41.8 11.7 0.5 17.6 Gender Male 107 99.1 49.5 42.9 7.7 N/A 7.7 17.6 Female 100.0 42.9 41.0 15.2 1.0 16.2 17.6 118 Racial/Ethnic Group 100.0 44 4 38.9 16.7 N/A 16.7 17.6 White 21 African-American 99.5 46.0 42.0 12.1 17.6 200 11.5 0.6 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Hispanic 17.6 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A American Indian/Alaskan 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 44.0 42.3 193 13.1 0.6 13.7 17.6 Disabled 32 96.9 57.1 39.3 3.6 N/A 3.6 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Non-migrant 225 99.6 45.9 41.8 11.7 0.5 12.2 17.6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 3 Non-limited English proficient 99.5 45.9 41.8 11.9 0.5 12.4 17.6 222 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 99.5 46.9 41.7 10.9 0.6 11.4 17.6 213 Full-pay meals 11 100.0 38.1 42.9 19.0 N/A 19.0 17.6 Mathematics All students 225 100.0 36.5 47.2 14.2 2.0 16.2 15.5 Gender Male 100.0 41.3 16.3 3.3 19.6 15.5 107 39.1 Female 100.0 32.4 54.3 12.4 1.0 13.3 15.5 118 Racial/Ethnic Group White 100.0 16.7 55.6 27.8 N/A 27.8 15.5 21 African-American 200 100.0 38.9 45.7 13.1 2.3 15.4 15.5 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Hispanic 100.0 N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A N/A 4 American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 34.5 48.2 2.4 15.5 193 14.9 17.3 Disabled 100.0 48.3 41.4 10.3 N/A 15.5 32 10.3 Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A 36.5 Non-migrant 225 100.0 47.2 14.2 2.0 16.2 15.5 English Proficiency Limited English proficient 3 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Non-limited English proficient 222 100.0 36.4 47.2 14.4 2.1 16.4 15.5

Abbreviations for Missing Data

36.9

33.3

47.7

42.9

13.6

19.0

213

11

100.0

100.0

Socio-Economic Status
Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

15.3

23.8

15.5

15.5

1.7

4.8

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Englis	ier des	reste 19	ONL	Basic ole	Profite 0/0	Advor Profic
		Em C	ign des	leste ologi		, 010	010	0/0/
		,	,		n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	71	N/A	33.8	47.1	19.1	N/A	19.1
	Grade 4	61	N/A	33.3	56.7	10.0	N/A	10.0
8	Grade 5	79	N/A	48.7	46.1	5.3	N/A	5.3
2002	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	76	100.0	32.8	46.3	19.4	1.5	20.9
	Grade 4	75	100.0	38.8	47.8	13.4	N/A	13.4
<u>8</u>	Grade 5	74	98.6	67.7	30.6	1.6	N/A	1.6
2003	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	s		
	Grade 3	71	N/A	39.7	44.1	14.7	1.5	16.2
	Grade 4	61	N/A	46.7	43.3	5.0	5.0	10.0
8	Grade 5	79	N/A	56.6	39.5	3.9	N/A	3.9
2002	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	76	100.0	28.4	46.3	23.9	1.5	25.4
	Grade 4	75	100.0	34.3	50.7	13.4	1.5	14.9
2003	Grade 5	74	100.0	47.6	44.4	4.8	3.2	7.9
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 422)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	0.2%	Down from 12.4%	2.3%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	93.6%	Down from 94.0%	95.6%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented	3.0%	Up from 1.2%	4.8%	13.2%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5.1%	Down from 9.1%	8.1%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	24.9%	Up from 7.9%	2.9%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 38)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	31.6%	Down from 33.3%	46.2%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	73.7%	Up from 41.0%	77.3%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	65.4%	Up from 64.2%	78.9%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.4%	No change	95.3%	95.3%
	\$34,583	Up 1.4%	\$37,892	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	8.5 days	Down from 8.8 days	13.0 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	17.7 to 1	Down from 17.9 to 1	16.7 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.1%	Down from 89.9%	88.8%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,628	Up 27.2%	\$6,847	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	65.6%	Down from 73.1%	63.2%	66.6%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	Up from 90.5%	99.0%	99.0%
	no	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Lighty gualified to above in high neverty cabools	N1/A	N1/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

North Charleston Elementary School (NCES) has been located in the heart of the city's historic district for over 80 years. The school's relationship to the North Charleston community has traditionally provided its identity and strength in resources. This venerable facility will be replaced by a completely new state-of-the-art school building and campus scheduled to open in the fall of 2005. The revitalized site will serve as a community learning hub and gathering place for North Charleston students and citizens. The new NCES will also benefit from the quaint and quiet neighborhood.

In August 2003, two years of construction will be underway and NCES will merge with Ronald McNair Elementary School about a mile away. The combined educational program will continue to emphasize building learning communities within the classrooms and address character development of the students. The staff and parents of both communities will work to expand the uninterrupted instructional day. Issues such as tardiness, absenteeism, and discipline problems are targeted in order to increase valued teaching time and decrease disruptions.

The academic program focuses on the basics of reading comprehension, the writing process, mathematical problem solving and curriculum integration of science and social studies. Basic skills remediation represents a significant portion of the NCES curriculum as a response to the large percentages of students scoring in the Below Basic category on the PACT. The progress of all NCES students is charted quarterly using skills assessments and benchmark tests so teachers can individualize the instruction to each student's ability level. These initiatives dramatically improved PACT scores from 2001 to 2002. Staff development focuses on these instructional components. An "Alternative to Retention" day tutoring program stresses remediation as well as challenging Basic, Proficient, and Advanced scoring students to progress.

The curriculum is closely correlated to the SC Curriculum Standards. Standardized test format materials are employed to best prepare the students to succeed on PACT. Technologically, a computer lab offers diagnostic and prescriptive software instruction to the elementary level students twice a week. Classroom computer access continues to grow through the implementation of the Accelerated Reader program, hardware/software acquisitions and network printing capability. Regular parent communication is an emphasis of the NCES faculty/family relationship.

Finally, despite the combination of the two school programs from 2003-2005, all efforts will be made to maintain reduced class sizes through the funding of additional classroom teachers. The lowering of student-to-teacher ratios promotes the school's familiar and friendly atmosphere. This cooperation between the staff and families will support North Charleston Elementary during the interim stay in our "home away from home" and support us in the face of modern challenges to come.

Kevin Conklin, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.